
This article was downloaded by: [NOAA Central Library], [Wei Guo]

On: 16 November 2012, At: 11:09

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered

office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Remote Sensing Letters

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/trsl20

Global and regional drought dynamics in the climate warming era

Felix Kogan ^a , Tatiana Adamenko ^b & Wei Guo ^c

^a Climate Research and Application Division, NOAA, National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Services, Center for Satellite Applications and Research, Camp Springs, MD, 20746, USA

^b Department of Agrometeorology, Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Center, Ukraine

^c IM Systems Group, Inc., Rockville, MD, 20852, USA Version of record first published: 12 Nov 2012.

To cite this article: Felix Kogan, Tatiana Adamenko & Wei Guo (2013): Global and regional drought dynamics in the climate warming era, Remote Sensing Letters, 4:4, 364-372

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2012.736033

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



Global and regional drought dynamics in the climate warming era

FELIX KOGAN*†, TATIANA ADAMENKO‡ and WEI GUO§

†Climate Research and Application Division, NOAA, National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Services, Center for Satellite Applications and Research, Camp Springs, MD 20746, USA

‡Department of Agrometeorology, Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Center, Ukraine §IM Systems Group, Inc., Rockville, MD 20852, USA

(Received 24 April 2012; in final form 27 September 2012)

This article investigates whether the highest global temperature during 2001–2012 triggered some changes in drought area, frequency, intensity and duration. New satellite-based vegetation health (VH) technology and regional in situ data were used for this analysis. The VH indices were used to investigate trends in global and regional drought area for several drought intensities (starting from moderate-to-exceptional (ME)) during the warmest decade, after 2000. Two of the most recent strongest droughts, 2010 in Russia and 2011 in the USA, are also discussed. During 2001-2012, droughts of ME, severe-to-exceptional (SE) and extreme-to-exceptional (EE) severity covered 17–35%, 7–15% and 2–6% of the total area of the world, respectively. No trends in drought areas for these levels of severity were found. Regional analysis was performed on Ukraine (from both satellite and in situ data). Annual mean temperature of the entire country follows global warming tendency, although the intensity is twice stronger, 1.45°C over 50-year period. The droughts of SE and EE severity during the growing season normally affect 25–60% (up to 80% of the major crop area) and 5–10% (up to 20%) of the entire country, respectively, and the later leading up to 40% of losses in Ukrainian grain production.

1. Introduction

The recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report stated that the average Earth's surface temperature in the past 100 years increased to 0.74°C (Solomon *et al.* 2007). A warmer world is expected to have much higher temperature variability, which will increase the risk of summer droughts, especially in the mid and low latitudes of continental interior. Drought prognosis for the end of this century due to global warming are bleak since a proportion of the land surface with extreme droughts is likely to increase. Moreover, the number of extreme droughts per 100 years and their duration are likely to increase by factors of two and six, respectively (Blunden *et al.* 2011). Following the rising global temperatures, it is anticipated that some regions (e.g. southern Europe) will experience shortages of summer precipitation leading to an enhanced evaporative demand and reduction of soil moisture, which would inevitably lead to more frequent and more intensive droughts. The period after

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: Felix.Kogan@noaa.gov

2000 was the warmest including the years 2007 and 2010, the two warmest years when unusually strong droughts affected western USA, northwestern China, central Europe and Russia (Levinson and Lawrimore 2008, Blunden *et al.* 2011). Finally, the most recent droughts in the south (2011) and central (2012) USA were quite devastating for agriculture (Jones *et al.* 2011).

Discussing droughts in a future, warmer climate, many publications emphasize the potential for a considerable increase in drought area, frequency, intensity, duration and impacts (USGCRP 2012). However, there are no discussions concerning these tendencies during the period of intensive global warming in the past 30 years (1980–2010) and especially the last 12 (2001–2012) years. It is quite possible that such tendencies have already started globally and especially regionally, since regional warming in the last few decades in some areas was much more intensive than global warming (Blunden et al. 2011). Therefore, the goals of this article were to investigate global, hemispheric and regional trends in drought area, frequency, intensity, duration and some impacts. These tendencies will be investigated using new satellite-based vegetation health (VH). The VH method was applied to map the three strongest regional drought events in North America (USA), Asia and Europe (Russia and Ukraine) and to investigate annual time series of drought area, intensity and duration. In addition, the results also indicated how sensitive is the VH method in drought detection and classification of its intensity. The VH estimates were supported by in situ data collected in Ukraine.

2. Drought importance

Drought has wide-ranging impacts on water resources, ecosystems, agriculture, forestry, energy, human health, recreation, transportation, food supply and demands and other resources and activities. Drought often covers large area over several months, including cases when it is lingering for years; in the recent decade, severe-to-exceptional (SE) droughts covered up to 18% of the globe and continued intermittently for a few years in Australia and Eastern Africa. Compared to other weather-related disasters, drought affects the largest number of people in the world, nearly a quarter of million each year. Drought is the most expensive disaster: since 2001, drought losses in the 42 highest ranked countries are estimated around \$932 billion (http://www.emdat.be/old/Documents/Publications/publication_2004_emdat.pdf (accessed 26 August 2011)). In the USA, a country of high technology, drought is estimated as 14 'billion-dollar' annual event in the last 30 years, totalling more than \$180 billion in damages and losses (NCDC 2011). In developing countries, the effects of drought are more devastating, leading not only to food shortages but also to famine, population migration and mortality.

Another specificity of drought is difficulties in its detection, monitoring and especially prediction because drought is a very complex and least understood phenomenon (Whilhit 1993). Although it is known that drought is a period of dry and hot weather, even a few months with such weather does not necessarily indicate drought, if the preceding to dryness period was quite wet. Since drought is a multi-dimensional phenomenon by its appearance, properties and impacts, weather-based parameters and indices are insufficient to characterize special and temporal drought features, especially such characteristic as drought start, which is important for timely initiation of mitigating measures. Another specific drought feature is its cumulative development with the following cumulative impacts which are not immediately observable. By the

time when damages are visible, it is too late to mitigate the consequences, which is very important in regions and countries with limited and/or variable water supplies and which economy is highly depended on agriculture.

3. Remote sensing for drought management

Climate and hydrological parameters were traditionally used to monitor droughts. However, since weather station network is sparse, especially in the developing countries and ecologically marginal areas, satellite technology has been successfully added to drought management in the recent two to three decades (Cracknell 1997). Satellite data are filling observational gaps between weather stations, which is crucial in monitoring moisture and thermal supplies. Since drought is developing cumulatively, cumulative assessment of climate and weather impacts on land and atmosphere obtained from satellite data and indices are crucial for drought management. Over the years, satellite remote sensing has been proved to be a perfect utility for operational drought management as a separate tool and also complementing *in situ* (weather) data (Kogan 2002).

In the past two decades, satellite-based drought detection technique has been successfully implemented using data obtained from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) on National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) polar-orbiting satellites (Cracknell 1997). This operational information has been provided weekly to NOAA's website (http://www.star.nesdis.noaa.gov/smcd/ emb/vci/VH/index.php) for every 4 and 16 km of the globe. The drought monitoring method will be considerably improved with the new operational satellite technology, which started in October 2011 with the launch of the NPOESS (National Polar-orbiting Operational Satellite System) Preparatory Platform (NPP) with many advanced sensors on board. The new Visible Infrared Imager Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) will provide much higher special (371 m) resolution and four times more spectral bands (compared to its predecessor) to measure radiance. Besides, VIIRS will provide exceptional data quality due to on board calibration of visible channels, narrow response function and, consequently, better quality of the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), new vegetation indices (from mid-IR channels) and other products (net primary production, leaf area and others).

4. VH method for drought monitoring

Remote sensing of AVHRR-based VH is based on the properties of green vegetation to reflect and emit solar radiation. In drought-free years, green and vigorous vegetation reflects little radiation in the visible (VIS) part of solar spectrum (due to high chlorophyll absorption) and much in the near-infrared (NIR) part (due to scattering of light by leaf internal tissues and water content). Following these properties, the difference between NIR and VIS becomes large, indicating that vegetation is very green and vigorous. Drought normally depresses vegetation greenness and vigour (due to a reduction in chlorophyll and water content) and canopy area leading to an increase in the VIS, decrease in the NIR and a reduction in NIR–VIS. This principle is used in construction of the NDVI [NDVI = (NIR – VIS)/(NIR + VIS)], which became the most widely used one for environmental monitoring because it correlates with vegetation biomass, leaf area index and crop yield (Cracknell 1997).

However, NDVI alone is not sufficient for monitoring VH and drought. The afternoon temperature of vegetation canopy is an extremely important characteristic for

estimation of vegetation condition. Therefore, land-cover thermal characteristic was added to the NDVI tool. In addition, both NDVI and thermal parameter were compared with their climatology calculated from the 32-year AVHRR data. Following these principles, three indices were developed; vegetation condition index (VCI) from NDVI, temperature condition index (TCI) from 10.3 to 11.3 µm infrared channel's measurements of brightness temperature (BT) of land surface and VH index (VHI) from the combination of VCI and TCI for estimation of total health (Kogan 1997, Kogan 2002). The NDVI and BT were pre- and post-launch calibrated and low-/highfrequency noise was removed from the data. The VCI, TCI and VHI were validated as moisture, thermal and total VH conditions, respectively. The indices values change from zero, indicating extreme stress, to 100, indicating very favourable conditions; close to normal conditions are approximated by the values around 50. VH-designed drought monitoring is based on the numerical analysis of vegetation stress from a lower greenness and above normal temperature; these conditions indicate moisture and/or thermal vegetation stress. In dry years, high temperatures at the background of insufficient water supply trigger an overheating of the canopy, which intensifies the impact of moisture shortage on vegetation. Drought warning is issued if VH values decrease below 40. The details of the algorithm are presented in Kogan (1997).

5. Unusual droughts in 2010–2011

In order to demonstrate the utility of VH method in early drought detection and monitoring, the most recent 2010 and 2011 droughts are discussed here since they had huge economic consequences. The 2011 drought in the USA covered southern states and was centred on Texas, Oklahoma and New Mexico, which experienced primary drought hit. Summer in these states was the hottest on record and it is estimated that the Texas drought only will cost more than \$5.2 billion in agricultural losses (AgriLife 2011). Additional losses came from wildfires, depletion of water in lakes and reservoirs, tourism and deterioration of human health and ways of living. Figure 1 shows VHI in mid-July 2011. The red area indicates the epicentre of the 2011 drought, where vegetation deteriorated enormously (VHI < 15). Analysis showed that thermal conditions (TCI) played a major role in depletion of soil moisture and drought development. Thermal stress started as early as in February 2011 when soil moisture conditions have been adequate, intensified strongly in March-April and continued to be strong throughout the entire season. Overall, based on both moisture and thermal stress, exceptional (the strongest) drought (occurs every 9-20 years) plagued 8% of the contiguous USA and extreme-to-exceptional (EE) drought covered nearly 15% of the country in 2011 (figure 2). State-level drought of exceptional intensity affected nearly 40% of Texas and Oklahoma (30% of New Mexico) and SE intensity hit 60% of Texas and 70% of Oklahoma (figure 2); droughts of any intensity covered the entire states. Before 2011, large-scale and intensive US droughts affected western USA (especially Colorado) in 2002 and Great Plains in 1988.

The 2010 drought in Russia was very long, intensive, occupied a huge area and caused serious damages to the environment, economy and human health. Based on VHI, this drought started in May and continued through November. A very specific drought feature, similar to the USA, was intensive thermal stress (figure 3), which started in April covering the entire European Russia and western part of Russian Asia. An excessive heat dried up the soil moisture quickly, deteriorating VH. As the result of the 2010 drought, Russian grain production dropped considerably. The drought, which




Figure 1. Vegetation Health Index (VHI) and Temperature Condition Index (TCI) in the United States in 2011.

continued through November, also affected (due to low soil moisture) the 2011 harvest. Following this drought and grain embargo imposed by the Russian Government, global wheat prices increased sharply since Russia is one of the main exporters of grain to the world market (Kramer 2010). Drought has also triggered hundreds of fires accompanied by a very heavy and long-lasting smoke and heatwaves, which affected human health and enhanced considerably the death rate in Russia.

Drought trends in the warmest decade

A few unusual droughts have already occurred during the warmest period after 2000. They covered large area, were long, severe and frequent and had enormous impacts on economy, environment and human lives. These exact drought features have already been emphasized by the recent IPCC report and by a number of research as some of the drought consequences of the warmer climate (Solomon *et al.* 2007, USGCRP 2012). It is quite possible that such tendencies have already begun, since the global temperature increased to 0.74°C (including 0.3°C in the past 30 years). Therefore, this section investigates drought tendencies in VH time series.

Figure 4 shows global and regional dynamics in drought area for different levels of drought severity during the warmest decade of the current millennium. Drought events were identified for each pixel following VH criteria (below 40), and percentage of drought area was calculated relative to the total number of pixels in the investigated area. Following the 'US Drought Monitor' criteria (http://droughtmonitor.unl. edu/), three levels of drought intensity (starting from moderate-to-exceptional (ME), SE and EE) were investigated. These intensities were selected because the droughts in these categories considerably reduce agricultural production, creating imbalance




Figure 2. Dynamics in drought areas (Texas, Oklahoma and New Mexico) for the intensities during 2001–2011: severe-to-exceptional and extreme-to-exceptional (grey shade).




Figure 3. Temperature Condition Index (TCI) in Russia on 5 August 2010.




Figure 4. Global and regional dynamics in drought area and intensity.

between grain production and consumption. Global analysis indicate that during the warmest decade 17–35% of total area of the world (the deserts were excluded) experienced droughts of ME severity, 7–15% experienced SE and 2–6% experienced the most dreadful EE. Another important conclusion is that there is no trend in drought areas for all the three levels of severity.

Regional analysis was performed on Ukraine (located in the southeastern Europe), because in addition to satellite data, we also collected 50-year weather and crop data for trend analysis. Ukraine has a large area (604,000 km²) and is a very important grain-producing country, making essential contribution to global grain trade (FAO 2011–2012). The country has the most productive chernozem soils favourable for growing grain but crops suffer from droughts every 2–4 years, leading to considerable losses of production (Kogan 1986). VH-based dynamics of drought area for the entire

Ukraine and the major grain area, located in the southern and central parts, is shown in figure 4. The droughts stronger than SE during the growing season normally affect between 25% and 60% of the entire Ukraine and up to 80% of the major crop area; the most dreadful (EE) droughts affect 5–10% of the entire country and up to 20% of the major crop area leading to up to 40% of losses in production. During the indicated 11-year period, droughts of severe and higher intensity occurred almost every other year and affected more than 20% of Ukraine territory. Five droughts (2003, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010) covered between 40% and 60% of the country and up to 80% of the major grain crop area. Considerable damage to grain production was caused by the 3-year drought, which started in 2007, centred on the major crop area and affected the entire growing season.

Finally, table 1 presents trend analysis in the average for the entire Ukraine (from 180 weather stations) temperature, cumulative precipitations, snow depth and area of winter wheat kill during the 50-year period from 1960 to 2010. It is important to emphasize that the entire Ukraine follows global annual warming tendency, although the intensity is much stronger, 1.45°C over five decades. However, increase in Ukrainian temperature during the warm period was weaker (1.10°C) compared to the cold period (1.35°C) and much weaker (2.5 times) than winter minimum temperature increase (2.80°C). Another important consideration of Ukrainian climate that at the background of intensive temperature increase, precipitation during these 50 years also increased by around 40 mm in both annual and warm periods, whereas decreased 23 mm in the cold season. Most probably because of this combination (temperature and precipitation increase) drought area and intensity did not experience any trends (figure 4). Another important consideration of climate and agriculture in Ukraine are tendencies in snow depth and minimum winter temperatures because these parameters control the area of winterkill for winter wheat, which is the dominant grain crop in Ukraine. In general, a reduction of snow depth increases winterkill area while temperature growth suppose to offset this negative impact (table 1). In spite of snow depth reduction (10 cm per 50 years), considerable winter temperature increase led to 10% reduction of winterkill area.

7. Conclusions

Global analysis indicates that during the warmest decade, after 2000, the 17–35% of total area of the world experienced droughts from ME intensity, 7–15% experienced SE and 2–6% experienced the most dreadful EE droughts. Two droughts, 2010 in Russia and 2011 in the USA, stand out by their intensity, affected area and huge economic consequences. Other two unusual cases of the past 11 years are multi-year droughts in Australia and the Horn of Africa. Regional analysis indicates (a) similar to

Table 1. Changes in climate and agricultural indicators during the years 1960-2010 in Ukraine.

Period	Temperature (°C/decade)	Precipitation (mm/decade)	Snow depth (cm/decade)	Winterkill area (% of total planted/decade)
Mean January–December	0.29	8.5		
Mean June–August	0.22	7.9		
Mean December–February	0.27	-4.6	-2.0	-2.0
Minimum December–February	0.56			

Note: Positive values denote increase and the negative values denote decrease.

the globe, drought area in Ukraine does not experience any trend after 2000, although the last 50-year country average annual temperature increased to 1.45°C (twice above the global increase). (b) Winter temperature increase in Ukraine is stronger than summer. (c) Total annual precipitation increased by 40 mm offsetting drought intensification due to a warmer climate. (d) Strong increase in absolute minimum winter temperature is leading to the 10% reduction of winterkill area although declining snow depth slow down this process. (e) The droughts of SE and EE severity during the growing season normally affect 25–60% (up to 80% of the major crop area) and 5–10% (up to 20%) of the entire country, respectively, and the latter leading up to 40% of losses in Ukrainian grain production every 3–5 years. Finally, the new satellite-based VH method used before for real-time monitoring large-scale weather hazards and their impacts on crops and pasture production were successfully applied for climatic aspects of droughts.

References

- AGRILIFE, 2011, Texas agricultural drought. Available online at: http://agrilife.org/today/2011/08/17/texas-agricultural-drought-losses-reach-record-5-2-billion/ (accessed 17 August 2011).
- Blunden, J., Arndt, D.S. and Baringer, M.O. (Eds.), 2011, State of the climate in 2010. Special Supplement to the Bulletin of American Meteorological Society, 92, p. 266.
- Cracknell, A.P., 1997, *The Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer*, 534 p. (London: Taylor & Francis).
- FAO, 2011–2012. Crop Statistics. Available online at: http://faostat.fao.org/?PageID=567 #ancor (accessed 19 January 2012).
- JONES, D.D, AMOSSON, S. and MAYFIELD, G., 2011, State drought losses have significant impacts on overall economy. Available online at: http://agecoext.tamu.edu/fileadmin/ user_upload/Documents/Resources/Publications/RecentDrought.pdf (accessed 24 January 2012).
- Kogan, F., 1986, *Impact of Climate and Technology on Soviet Grain Production*, 178 p. (Falls Church, VA: DELPHIC Inc.).
- Kogan, F., 1997, Global drought watch from space. Bulletin American Meteorological Society, 78, pp. 621–636.
- Kogan, F., 2002, World droughts from AVHRR-based vegetation health indices. *EOS, Transaction of American Geophysical Union*, **83**, pp. 557–564.
- Kramer, B.A., 2010, Russia crippled by drought ban grain export. *The New York Times*, 5 August, p. 41.
- LEVINSON, D.H. and LAWRIMORE, J.H. (Eds.), 2008, State of the climate in 2007. Special Supplement to the Bulletin of American Meteorological Society, 89, p. 178.
- NCDC (NATIONAL CLIMATIC DATA CENTER), 2011, Billion dollar U.S. weather disasters. Available online at: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/reports/billionz.html (accessed 10 September 2011).
- SOLOMON, S., QIN, D., MANNING, M., CHEN, Z., MARQUIS, M., AVERYT, K.B., TIGNOR, M. and MILLER, H.L. (Eds.), 2007, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007 (New York, NY: Cambridge University Press).
- USGCRP, 2012, United States Global Change Research Program. Available online at: http://www.globalchange.gov/publications/reports/scientific-assessments/us-impacts/regional-climate-change-impacts (accessed 24 January 2012).
- WHILHIT, D.A. (Ed.), 1993, The enigma of drought. In *Drought Assessment, Management, and Planning: Theory and Case Studies* (Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers), pp. 3–15.